	Case 2:04-cv-02052-TSZ Document 29 F	Filed 05/09/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7		
8	UNITED WOOD PRODUCTS COMPANY,	
9	Plaintiff,	No. C04-2052Z
10	v.	
11	TRI-STATE CONSTRUCTION, INC., a	ORDER
12	Washington Corporation, and the CITY OF EVERETT, WASHINGTON, a municipal	
13	corporation	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	This matter now comes before the Court on the City of Everett's Motion for Dismissa	
17	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and 56, docket no. 19.	
18	The Court having fully considered all of the papers submitted in connection with	
19	these motions, hereby ORDERS as follows:	
20	(1) Defendant City of Everett's Motion for Dismissal Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	
21	12(b)(6) and 56, docket no. 19, is GRANTED. Plaintiff, United Wood Products Company,	
22	alleges that the City was negligent in its design, implementation, and construction of an	
23	effluent pipeline project in and around Plaintiff's land. See First Amended Complaint,	
24	docket no. 18, at ¶¶ 17, 18. Plaintiff seeks to recover economic damages suffered as a result	
25	of the alleged negligence. <u>Id.</u> Because deposition testimony and other exhibits have been	
26	submitted with the parties' briefs, the City's motion to dismiss will be treated as a motion for	
	ORDER 1–	

summary judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b). Summary judgment is appropriate when there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). Here, the City is entitled to judgment as a matter of law because Washington law does not recognize Plaintiff's "negligent construction" claim. See Atherton Condominium Apartment-Owners Ass'n Bd. Of Directors v. Blume Dev. Co., 115 Wash.2d 506, 526 (1990); see also Stuart v. Coldwell Banker Commercial Group, Inc., 109 Wash.2d 406, 417-22 (1987). For these reasons, the Court GRANTS the City of Everett's motion to dismiss and dismisses Defendant City of Everett from this action. (2) The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to all counsel of record. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 9th day of May, 2005. United States District Judge